

1 MELINDA HAAG, CSBN 132612
United States Attorney
2 ILA C. DEISS, NY SBN 3052909
Assistant United States Attorney
3 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102
4 Telephone: (415) 436-7124
Fax: (415) 436-7169
5 Email: ila.deiss@usdoj.gov

6 TARA GARCIA
Certified Student Attorney
7 Attorneys for Respondent
8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11 JOLLY BUGARI)
12 Petitioner,) Case No. C 11-0084 JCS
13 v.)
14 JANET NAPOLITANO) **PARTIES' JOINT REQUEST TO BE
15 Respondent.) EXEMPT FROM FORMAL ADR
16) PROCESS; AND [PROPOSED] ORDER**

17 Each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has read either the handbook entitled "Dispute
18 Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California" or the specified portions of the ADR
19 Unit's Internet site <www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov>, discussed the available dispute resolution
20 options provided by the court and private entities, and considered whether this case might benefit
21 from any of them.

22 Here, the parties agree that referral to a formal ADR process will not be beneficial because this
23 action is limited to Petitioner's request that this Court review the denial of Petitioner's
24 naturalization application, as per 8 U.S.C. §1421(c). Given the substance of the action and the
25 lack of any potential middle ground, ADR will only serve to multiply the proceedings and
26 unnecessarily tax court resources.

27 Accordingly, pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-3(c), the parties request the case be removed from the
28 ADR Multi-Option Program and that they be excused from participating in the ADR phone

1 conference and any further formal ADR process. If any party subsequently determines that
2 submission to the formal ADR process would be beneficial to the efficient resolution of this
3 matter, the parties agree to submit to the Court's ADR program at that time.

4 Dated: March 14, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

5 MELINDA HAAG
6 United States Attorney

7 /s/
8 ILA C. DEISS
9 Assistant United States Attorney
10 Attorneys for Respondent

11 Dated: March 15, 2011

12 /s/
13 ANOOP PRASAD
14 Attorney for Petitioner

ORDER

15 Pursuant to stipulation and to ADR L. R. 3-3(c), the parties are hereby removed from the ADR
16 Multi-Option Program and are excused from participating in the ADR phone conference and any
17 further formal ADR process. Should any party subsequently determine that submission to the
18 formal ADR process would be beneficial to the efficient resolution of this matter, that party may
19 request placement in one of the Court's ADR programs at that time.

20
21 SO ORDERED.
22

23 Dated: 03/16/11

